

## ***Ab Initio* Calculations, including *d*-Orbitals, of the Electronic Structure of the Sulphate Ion**

By I. H. HILLIER\* and V. R. SAUNDERS

(Chemistry Department, University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL)

**Summary** *Ab initio* molecular orbital calculations using a Gaussian basis set, of the electronic structure of the sulphate ion reveal the importance of  $3d$ -orbitals in describing the bonding in this ion.

TABLE

	<i>s</i> and <i>p</i> sulphur basis set	<i>s</i> , <i>p</i> , and <i>d</i> sulphur basis set
Total energy (a.u.)	-687.489	-688.327
Diagonal elements of Fock matrix (a.u.)		
3 <i>s</i>	-1.57	-1.57
3 <i>p</i>	-0.66	-0.69
$\theta_{xx}$ (a.u.)	-31.0	-32.1
Atomic populations		
Sulphur		
3 <i>s</i>	1.35	1.19
3 <i>p</i>	3.28	2.84
3 <i>d</i>		2.58
Oxygen		
2 <i>s</i>	1.92	1.83
2 <i>p</i>	4.94	4.54
Orbital energies (a.u.)		
5 <i>t</i> <sub>2</sub>	0.27022	0.09681
1 <i>t</i> <sub>1</sub>	0.26607	0.17720
1 <i>e</i>	0.20367	0.00437
4 <i>t</i> <sub>2</sub>	-0.02906	-0.13663
5 <i>a</i> <sub>1</sub>	-0.12248	-0.23013
3 <i>t</i> <sub>2</sub>	-0.68420	-0.79217
4 <i>a</i> <sub>1</sub>	-0.93320	-1.0032

THE nature of the bonding, particularly the importance of  $3d$ -orbitals, in molecules containing latter second-row elements such as sulphur, is still a matter of some speculation.<sup>1,2</sup> Semi-empirical molecular orbital calculations give varying degrees of *d*-orbital participation depending upon the parameters used.<sup>3,4</sup> For this reason, and because of the lack of non-empirical studies on sulphur-containing molecules (except for H<sub>2</sub>S<sup>5</sup> and COS<sup>6</sup>), we here report the results of *ab initio* molecular orbital calculations of the sulphate ion. A Gaussian basis set was used in which three such functions are used to describe each member of a minimal basis set of Slater-type orbitals.<sup>7</sup> The orbital exponents of the latter, except for that of the  $3d$ , were taken as the best atom values given by Clementi.<sup>8</sup> In view of the large amount of computer time required for such calculations, it was not possible to optimize the  $3d$ -orbital exponent. A value of 1.2 was chosen, following the suggestion of Craig *et al.*<sup>2</sup> Such a value is in line with the optimum  $3d$  exponents found for some phosphorus compounds.<sup>9,10</sup> The results of the two calculations with and without *d*-orbitals are summarized in the Table. The decrease of nearly 1 a.u. in the molecular energy compared with *ca* 0.05 a.u. in the case of H<sub>2</sub>S<sup>5</sup> shows the importance of the  $3d$ -orbitals, which is further illustrated by the large  $3d$  population obtained by a Mulliken analysis.

The introduction of  $3d$ -orbitals lowers the energy of the 1*e* molecular orbitals by removing their non-bonding characteristic, and the mixing of the  $3d$ -orbitals into the 5*t*<sub>2</sub>-orbitals brings about a reversal in the order of the 5*t*<sub>2</sub>- and

$t_1$ -orbitals. The population analysis which yields a negative charge on the sulphur atom when  $d$ -orbitals are included gives an exaggerated picture of the charge redistribution which occurs on expansion of the basis set. Owing to the diffuseness of the  $d$ -orbitals, their population should to a large extent be associated with the oxygen atoms. This

is shown by the small change both in the sulphur  $3s$  and  $3p$  diagonal elements of the Fock matrix at self-consistency, and the non-vanishing components of the quadrupole moment on the introduction of  $d$ -orbitals.

(Received, August 13th, 1969; Com. 1242.)

<sup>1</sup> D. W. J. Cruickshank, B. C. Webster, and D. F. Mayers, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1964, **40**, 3733.

<sup>2</sup> D. P. Craig and C. Zauli, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1962, **37**, 601.

<sup>3</sup> D. P. Santry and G. A. Segal, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1967, **47**, 158.

<sup>4</sup> I. H. Hillier, *J. Chem. Soc. (A)*, 1969, 878.

<sup>5</sup> F. P. Boer and W. N. Lipscomb, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1969, **50**, 989.

<sup>6</sup> E. Clementi, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1962, **36**, 750.

<sup>7</sup> W. J. Hehre, R. F. Stewart, and J. A. Pople, *Symposia Faraday Soc.*, 1968, No. 2, 15.

<sup>8</sup> E. Clementi and D. L. Raimondi, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1963, **38**, 2686.

<sup>9</sup> D. B. Boyd and W. N. Lipscomb, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1968, **48**, 4968.

<sup>10</sup> D. B. Boyd and W. N. Lipscomb, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1967, **46**, 910.